SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS

Geographic Ranges of Extant Conifers

The Araucariaceae, Podocarpaceae, and Callitroideae are generally referred to as Southern Hemisphere conifers because most of their species diversity (~75%) is found south of the Equator (numbers based on midpoint species latitude ranges compiled primarily from monographs (1-4)). However, about 34% of Podocarpaceae species are found in Northern Hemisphere tropical environments (and very occasionally warm temperate forests), especially in Central America and Southeast Asia. While most species diversity in Araucariaceae and Podocarpaceae is found in southern tropical latitudes, Podocarpaceae includes a significant number of Southern temperate species (around 20% of species diversity), including a large temperate clade (the prumnopityoid clade of (5), also found in this analysis). Callitroideae are also primarily temperate, with 80% of species diversity found south of the Tropic of Capricorn. Among Northern Hemisphere conifer clades, most Pinaceae and Cupressoideae species diversity is found in mountainous temperate and warm temperate regions of western North American and southern and southwestern China. A few species of Pinaceae and Cupressoideae extend into lowland tropical environments, and only a single species of Juniperus (J. procera) extends below the Equator.

Calibration approach

In general, we did not place fossils in subclades within extant genera, because such placement often depends on the correct systematic assessment of detailed morphological features like cuticle characters or continuous variables such as the size of seed cones. Since the systematic patterns in such features have rarely been explored in an explicit phylogenetic framework, it is often difficult to confidently determine which states are pleisiomorphic and which synapomorphic. The inability to reliably assign most fossils to specific groups within modern genera, combined with relatively poor knowledge of even the most completely understood fossils, means that many fossils cannot be assigned securely to the crown group of the genus (the group that includes all living members) and could instead belong to the stem group (the group that includes all living species and some extinct species which may lack all the defining features of the living genus). We therefore conservatively place fossils as stem members unless they possess extremely distinctive morphological features shared only with particular extant clades within genera.

For all calibration points we developed an associated prior age distribution, which represents the initial probability distribution of ages for a calibrated node used in BEAST analyses. In most cases, we used priors with a lognormal probability distribution where the minimum age was set by the age of the fossil constraint and 95% confidence intervals of the probability distribution extend either 20 or 40 million years (My) earlier than this minimum age. We chose these two different distributions in order to explore the effect of prior width on divergence age estimates, although both numbers are somewhat arbitrary. In effect, we make an initial hypothesis that the actual date of a given divergence had a
95% probability of occurring within 20 or 40 My of the first fossil evidence, which can be subsequently altered by the analysis based on information from the sequence data. If fossil age is known to be within a general geologic time interval but its exact age has not been resolved, we used the younger boundary of the time period to set the minimum age. Throughout this study, dates were based on the 2009 geologic timescale published by the Geological Society of America (primarily based on 6). We used lognormal prior age distributions with either 20 or 40 My 95% confidence intervals for all calibration points unless there was fossil evidence suggesting the actual divergence may significantly predate the first unambiguous fossil appearance. For example, we extended the 95% confidence intervals beyond the typical 20 My (or 40 My in the alternate analysis) for the divergence of *Tsuga* because their seed cones first occur in the Eocene, but there are reports of their distinctive pollen grains from the Late Cretaceous (Turonian). We therefore extended the 95% confidence intervals of the prior to reflect the possibility of their earlier divergence time (see Discussion of Calibration Points and Priors for more detail). The divergence between conifers and cycads (the outgroup used in this study) was constrained between 275-350 My using a uniform prior, where any divergence age between these dates is equally probable. We used a uniform prior for this date because we are confident that the divergence did not occur before 350 My based on substantial fossil evidence, even though the relationships among putative early conifers, extant conifers, and cycads, as well as the timing of their respective appearances during this interval, have not been resolved unambiguously (see Discussion of Calibration Points and Priors).

*Evaluating the Impact of Different Priors*

Within the focal clades, there were only minor differences in divergence age estimates when using fossil calibrations with associated prior age distributions of 20 My or 40 My 95% confidence intervals (Table S1). Surprisingly, median node ages were slightly younger within some focal clades using the calibration scheme with wider prior age distributions. Because the differences between analyses were minimal, both in terms of absolute and relative ages, we primarily illustrate results from the analysis using 40 My prior age distributions. This analysis uses wider, more conservative prior age distributions. In this analysis, the distributions of divergence times in specific focal clades are broadly similar to overall Northern Hemisphere (NH) and Southern Hemisphere (SH) conifer distributions shown in the main text. Cupressoideae and Pinaceae distributions are skewed towards more recent divergence times than Callitroideae and Podocarpaceae, and also show proportionally fewer deep divergences (Fig. S1A).
Table S1. Median node ages (in millions of years; My) of Southern Hemisphere (SH) and Northern Hemisphere (NH) focal clades using different calibration schemes. Resampled values in parentheses represent the median value of 10,000 median node ages calculated from a resampling routine where NH clades were reduced to 50% species sampling (see discussion in Supplementary Materials). Values outside of the parentheses give the lower and upper boundaries of the 95% confidence intervals for this distribution. Note that while the upper limits of the 95% confidence intervals in Cupressoideae and Pinaceae are older than observed median node age in Araucariaceae, this clade is sampled nearly as well as NH clades and its observed median node age can be directly compared with observed values in NH clades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Observed (20 My Priors)</th>
<th>Observed (40 My Priors)</th>
<th>50% Resampling (40 My Priors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Araucariaceae (SH)</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocarpaceae (SH)</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Podocarpus</em> (SH)</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callitroidea (SH)</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Southern</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressoideae (NH)</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.28 (5.60) 7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceae (NH)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.37 (5.04) 5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus</em> (NH)</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.52 (4.52) 5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Northern</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>5.03 (5.53) 6.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. S1. (A) Distribution of divergence times (in millions of years; My) in Northern Hemisphere and Southern Hemisphere focal clades. A density function was calculated from the age distributions and then scaled to facilitate comparisons between clades with different numbers of extant species. Density values are arbitrary and are not shown on the axes. (B) Distribution of 10,000 median node ages for Pinaceae and Cupressoideae generated through an iterative resampling procedure (see discussion in Supplementary Materials). Red arrows indicate the value of the observed median node age in Podocarpaceae and Callitroideae.
Evaluating Potential Sample Bias

Southern Hemisphere clades are not as well sampled in our dataset as NH clades, which could potentially bias our results towards finding relatively older ages in SH groups. In order to assess this, we performed an iterative resampling analysis where NH clades were randomly degraded to 50% sampling of extant species and the median node age was recalculated. This was repeated 10,000 times to form a distribution of median node ages. This process gives conservative estimates, since neither Callitroidea nor Podocarpaceae are that poorly sampled in our dataset (61% and 66% of extant species are present respectively) and Araucariaceae (81% species sampling) is sampled nearly as well as NH clades (84% and 95% species sampling for Pinaceae and Cupressoideae respectively). After resampling, median node ages for NH clades remained significantly older than SH clades (Table S1, Fig. S1B).

Southern Hemisphere conifer clades also contain a greater proportion of tropical taxa than NH clades, which could potentially result in differences in divergence times based on broad latitude patterns rather than landmass configuration. However, estimated divergence ages for individual species do not show a strong latitudinal gradient in either hemisphere (Fig. S2). In the Northern Hemisphere, species with extremely recent estimated divergence ages (e.g., 1.0 My or less) occur across a very broad range of latitudes. These species tend to occur in large regions of high conifer diversity, including western North America and southern and southwestern China. In the Southern Hemisphere, species with extremely recent divergence ages are largely absent from all latitudes, although there is a weak positive relationship between high southern latitudes and older species divergence age ($R^2 = 0.11$), which primarily reflects deep divergence times in a subset of extant temperate species of Podocarpaceae.

To test whether differences between Northern and Southern Hemisphere clades resulted from a bias in our divergence time estimates, we also examined raw molecular branch lengths calculated using RAxML in NH and SH focal clades. As discussed in the main text, these results are consistent with our estimated divergence times; median molecular branch lengths are smaller in NH clades than SH clades (Table S2). In particular, NH clades have relatively few long branches and an abundance of extremely short branches compared to SH clades (Fig. S3A,B; focal clades significantly different at $p < 0.01$ using Mann-Whitney U-test). These differences do not appear to result from biased genetic sampling; NH clades consistently have a greater proportion of short branch lengths when calculated using $rbcL$ sequences (Fig. S3C) and $matK$ sequences alone (Fig. S3D). In both cases, branch length distributions between the focal clades are significantly different at $p < 0.01$ using Mann-Whitney U-test).
**Fig. S2.** Relationship between latitude and estimated divergence age (in million of years; My) for individual living conifer species. Species latitudes were determined based on the midpoint of present day geographical range (primarily found in refs. 1-4).

**Table S2.** Median branch lengths for major Southern (SH) and Northern Hemisphere (NH) clades. Branch lengths are given in substitutions per site. Resampled values in parentheses represent the median of 10,000 median branch lengths calculated from a resampling routine where NH clades were reduced to 50% species sampling (see discussion in Supplementary Materials). Values outside of the parentheses give the lower and upper boundaries of the 95% confidence intervals for this distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>50% species resampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Araucariaceae (SH)</td>
<td>9.1×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocarpaceae (SH)</td>
<td>1.2×10⁻³</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callitroidea (SH)</td>
<td>3.4×10⁻³</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressoidea (NH)</td>
<td>4.3×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.5×10⁻⁴ - (7.8×10⁻⁴) - 1.1×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceae (NH)</td>
<td>4.0×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.2×10⁻⁴ - (5.0×10⁻⁴) - 6.1×10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. S3. (A) Molecular phylogeny based *rbcL*, *matK*, 18S and *PHYP* sequences with branch lengths representing raw molecular substitutions per site. Focal clades are labeled and indicated in red (Southern Hemisphere) and blue (Northern Hemisphere) (B) Comparison of molecular branch length distributions in focal clades based on *rbcL*, *matK*, 18S and *PHYP*. Lines represent density functions calculated from histograms of branch lengths, which were scaled for comparison. Density values are arbitrary and are not shown on the axes (C) Comparison of molecular branch length distributions based on an analysis of *rbcL* sequences only. (D) Comparison of molecular branch length distributions based on an analysis *matK* sequences only.
Diversity Patterns Through Time

We constructed lineage-through-time (LTT) plots for each of the major clades as a way to visualize the timing of speciation events. In addition, to better understand the dynamics of these origins we also assessed the fit of various lineage-specific diversification models. We used recently developed coalescent-based methods that work back from the present to the root of a tree to approximate the likelihood of observing the distribution of internode distances under various diversification scenarios ("backwards" approach; see 7). The coalescent-based approach can readily accommodates incomplete species sampling and is known to outperform “time-forward” methods in both its robustness and its ability to accommodate time-varying rates (7).

We assessed the fit of five diversification scenarios (Table S3). The first corresponds to a hypothesis that diversity is saturated, and is maintained by a turnover process such that an extinction event is immediately followed by a speciation event (Time-constant turnover model). The second model is a generalization of the first, in that the turnover rate decays through time (Time-varying turnover model). The remaining three scenarios assume that diversity is expanding by independent speciation and extinction events that vary in fundamentally different ways. In one scenario, extinction is assumed to be zero, and speciation is allowed to vary (Yule with time-varying speciation). In the remaining two scenarios, the extinction rate is constant, and speciation rates are allowed to vary through time (Birth-Death with time-varying speciation), or speciation rate is assumed to be constant and extinction rate is allowed to vary (Birth-Death with time-varying extinction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Saturated Diversity</th>
<th>Expanding Diversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time-constant</td>
<td>Time-varying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>Turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araucariaceae</td>
<td>0.336 (522)</td>
<td>0.548 (393)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocarpaceae</td>
<td>0.021 (0)</td>
<td>0.979 (1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callitroideae</td>
<td>0.097 (20)</td>
<td>0.435 (889)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressoideae</td>
<td>0.018 (0)</td>
<td>0.944 (997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceae</td>
<td>0.131 (0)</td>
<td>0.869 (1000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S3. Average AIC weights across a set of 1000 trees for different diversification models in each of the major conifer clades under study. The numbers in parentheses are the frequencies that each model had the highest AIC weight. Time-varying turnover models were best fit in most clades, with a very slight decrease in turnover rate over time. BD refers to birth-death models with varying speciation and extinction parameters.
A maximum-likelihood based approach was used to obtain parameter estimates and assess the model fit across 1000 trees randomly chosen from the posterior distribution of dated trees. Initial exploratory analyses revealed that the likelihood function was “noisy”, often converging to several different local maximum rather than consistent and potentially global one. To avoid this situation, we implemented an initial “coarse” grid search prior to the full likelihood search to find the set of starting conditions that ensured finding the global maximum (i.e., the highest negative log-likelihood). The AIC weight \(w_i\), which represents the relative likelihood that model \(i\) is the best model given a set of models (8), was calculated and averaged for all the models across the 1000 trees. The model with the highest average AIC weight was considered the model with highest probability. All analyses were carried out using scripts written for R (R Development Core Team, 2011).

**Time-calibrated Conifer Phylogeny**

**Fig. S4.** A detailed version of the dated phylogenetic tree used in the primary text is given on the following pages, where it has been broken into separate panels showing Cupressaceae and Taxaceae (A), Podocarpaceae and Araucariaceae (B), and Pinaceae (C) respectively. Calibration points are labeled on the tree numerically, and are described in more detail in the following sections. Dashed lines indicate the Paleozoic-Mesozoic boundary (251 My), the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary (65 My), and the Paleogene-Neogene boundary (23 My; dates from 5). Bars at each node represent 95% confidence intervals for the distribution of estimated divergence times, and the median value of this distribution is illustrated as the divergence time. Blue bars indicate age distributions for nodes whose extant descendant members have a Northern Hemisphere distribution, while red bars indicate nodes where all extant descendant taxa have a Southern Hemisphere or tropical distribution. Gray bars are associated with nodes that have both northern and southern descendant taxa.
continued on previous page
Summary of Calibration Points and Priors

The age ranges (in My) shown represent the 95% confidence intervals for the 20 My lognormal prior. Figures given in parentheses are for the 40 My prior. See text below for more detailed information concerning these age ranges, particularly for the divergences (2. Araucariaceae – Podocarpaceae, 6. Podocarpus – Retrophyllum, 16. Tsuga – Nothotsuga) in which the typical 20 and 40 My prior age distributions were modified.


2. Araucariaceae - Podocarpaceae divergence. Lognormal prior: 176-230 My

3. Araucaria – (Wollemia + Agathis) divergence. Lognormal prior: 165-185 (205) My

4. Dacrycarpus – (Falcatafolium+Dacrydium) divergence. Lognormal prior: 51.9-71.9 (91.9) My


8. Metasequoia – (Sequoia+Sequoiadendron) divergence. Lognormal prior: 55-75 (95) My


10. Papuacedrus – (other Callitroids) divergence. Lognormal prior: 51.9-71.9 (91.9) My


16. Tsuga – Nothotsuga divergence. Lognormal prior: 41-90 (100) My
Details and Discussion of Calibration Points and Priors

1. Conifer divergence

**Fossils:** Upper bound based on megasporophylls that are morphologically similar to extant *Cycas* from the uppermost Lower Permian of China (*Crossozamia* spp. ~275 My; 9). Lower bound based on the appearance of ovules with a fully fused integument similar to that of all living seed plant groups in the Lower Carboniferous (350 My; 10).

**Prior:** Uniform; 275-350 My

**Discussion:** Extant cycads are often thought to be related to medullosan seed ferns (11), suggesting that the first appearance of medulosans in the fossil record (*Quaestora*; Late Mississippian ~320 My; 12) could be used as a minimum age for the cycad-conifer split. However, recent cladistic analyses have not generally supported a close relationship, suggesting instead that lyginopterid and medullosan seed ferns form an early grade of seed plants no more closely related to living cycads than other extant groups (13-15). In this context, the first appearance of megasporophylls that are morphologically similar to those of extant *Cycas* (Early Permian ~275 My; 9) are here used as the minimum age for the conifer – cycad divergence. The first appearance of conifers or conifer-like plants in the Pennsylvanian is not used as the minimum age because pollination and fertilization in these plants is unlike modern conifers and is more similar to primitive seed plant groups (for example, they were most likely zooidogamous). This may suggest that these plants are not closely related to modern conifers (see 13), even though Paleozoic conifers have been linked with living conifers based on other shared aspects of their vegetative and reproductive morphology as well as in more recent phylogenetic analyses (14,15). The first appearance of derived conifer or conifer-like traits could potentially be used as the minimum age for the divergence of living conifers from other groups of extant seed plants, but they fall within the uniform prior employed here. Saccate pollen grains similar those produced by younger Paleozoic conifer and conifer-like macrofossils (*Florinites, Potoniesporites*) enter the fossil record in the Serpukovian (~326 My; 16). Diverse saccate pollen, including bisaccate forms characteristic of extant conifers but also many other groups of extinct gymnosperms, are known from the early Bashkirian (~317 My; 17) and may suggest early conifers were present for some time before their recognition as macrofossils.

In light of the uncertainty regarding the first appearance of early conifers and their exact phylogenetic placement, we extend the maximum age of the cycad-conifer divergence to the earliest evidence of seed plants with a fused integument, a trait shared by all living groups but that is not present in the earliest seed plants. This feature appears as early as the Tournasian in the lowermost Carboniferous (~350 My; see 10), and was also used by Crisp and Cook (18) to set the lower boundary of the divergence of extant seed plant groups. We use this date so that we do not potentially bias our analyses toward younger dates for this divergence, but there is no fossil evidence from either palynology or reproductive morphology that suggests early members belonging to the five living seed plant groups were present before the Serpukovian (~326 My). Early
ovules with a fully fused integument also retain morphological features suggesting a more primitive pollination biology that is not shared with any living gymnosperm, including tetrahedral division of the megaspore mother cell, an elaborate nucellar pollen chamber for receiving pollen, and the hydrasperman-type pollination biology characteristic of primitive seed plants (see 15).

2. Araucariaceae – Podocarpaceae divergence

**Fossils:** Presence of *Araucariacites* pollen grains in conjunction with the earliest macrofossils putatively assigned to *Araucariaceae* (*Araucarites rudicula*; Late Carnian, ~230 My; 19). Unambiguous evidence of Araucariaceae by the Aalenian (172-176 My) based on *Araucaria*-like ovulate cones and scales (*Araucarites phillipsii*) as well as pollen cones (attached to *Brachyphyllum mammilare* foliage) containing pollen comparable to *Araucariacites* and living Araucariaceae (20).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 172-230 My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** Several Middle Triassic fossils have been considered to represent early Podocarpaceae, and have been used to date the split between this family and the Araucariaceae (see excluded fossils below). However, fossils with ovulate cones that are comparable to those of living Podocarpaceae do not enter the record until the Middle Jurassic (20) and the earliest appearance of unambiguous Podocarpaceae is not until the Early Cretaceous (e.g., 21), well after the earliest appearance of unambiguous Araucariaceae. Therefore, we use the more definitive early record of the Araucariaceae to constrain the divergence of these two families.

Pollen grains similar to those of modern Araucariaceae (*Araucariacites*) are first described from the Early Triassic (22), and are also known from the Middle-Late Triassic of Patagonia (23) and the Late Triassic of Europe (24). Although *Araucariacites* and similar palynomorphs therefore have a substantial Triassic record, so far they have not been found associated with reproductive structures until the Middle Jurassic. In general, *Araucariacites* (and the potential araucarian grain *Inaperturopollenites*, which is also applied to pollen grains of Cupressaceae *sensu lato*) are similar to the pollen of living Araucariaceae in that they are relatively large, non-saccate, and have a granular surface ornamentation. However, these are not sufficiently definitive characters to securely infer the presence of either stem or crown group Araucariaceae.

The earliest potential macrofossils of Araucariaceae consists of ovulate cones and isolated scales preserved as compression or impression fossils in several Late Triassic macrofloras (19, 25, 26). However, due to their poor preservation, it is difficult to assign them unambiguously to the Araucariaceae. The isolated scales are usually broadly triangular and bear a single large ovule or seed, although they lack evidence of an ovule scale, or ligule, which is characteristic of the extant genus *Araucaria* (although not the extant genera *Wollemia* or *Agathis*). The earliest dated occurrence of these of triangular, non-ligulate scales (*Araucarites rudicula*; 19) is used to define the lower 95% confidence interval on our lognormal prior age distribution in order to allow for the possibility that
these specimens are related to extant Araucariaceae. These fossils have been dated to the late Carnian–middle Norian (~230-213 My) by palynology and detrital zircons (27, 28). However, we do not consider scales of this kind to be unambiguous evidence of the family because they differ in their overall morphology from unambiguous Middle Jurassic Araucariaceae (a ligule is lacking and they have relatively small ovules with a highly constricted scale base), their anatomy is not preserved, and they lack co-occurring foliage or palynomorphs that would help support placement in the family.

We set minimum age for the Podocarpaceae – Araucariaceae split by the first appearance of fossils we consider to be unambiguous evidence of stem or crown Araucariaceae (Araucarites phillipsii cone and associated Brachyphyllum mammilare foliage with attached pollen cones) from the Aalenian (172-176 My). Harris (20) considered these fossils to belong to the same plant based on their common co-occurrence and similar cuticular morphology, and both could independently be assigned to the Araucariaceae based on their morphology. Pollen cones attached to Brachyphyllum mammilare foliage produced relatively large, non-saccate pollen comparable to modern Araucaria (29) and the foliage also contains oval sclereids similar to those found in extant Araucaria cunninghamii. Araucarites phillipsii seed cones consist of broad cone scales in which the ovule scale appears to be fused to the bract for most of its length but is separated at the tip. The material is not permineralized but the ovule or seed is interpreted as being covered by cone scale tissue as in extant Araucariaceae. Due to the preservation, it is not possible to definitely assign this species to a living section of Araucaria, but it has been linked to Section Eutacta (20; 30). Using the minimum age of 172 My, in this instance we use a lognormal prior of 58 My to accommodate the possibility that the late Carnian – middle Norian fossils are related to extant Araucariaceae (see above).

The Brachyphyllum – Araucarites phillipsii plant may represent definitive evidence of the divergence of Araucaria based on the wingless seed (Agathis and Wollemia seeds are winged) and the presence of a free ligule tip (Agathis and Wollemia lack the ligule tip and their ovule scale is completely fused to the bract). However, since these fossils are not anatomically preserved and because the exact sequence of character changes occurring in ovulate scale evolution in early Araucariaceae are not entirely clear (see also below), we do not use it to date the Araucaria – (Agathis+Wollemia) divergence.

3. Araucaria – (Wollemia + Agathis) divergence

**Fossils:** Araucaria sphaero-carpa (31) from the Inferior Oolite at Bruton Station, Somerset, UK. At this locality, the Inferior Oolite has yielded ammonites suggesting a Middle Bathonian age, although they do not appear to have been recovered from the limestone where this species was found. Deposition of the Upper Inferior Oolite in southern England ends at the very beginning of the Bathonian (32), so it is likely that the deposits yielding A. sphaero-carpa span the Bajocian-Bathonian boundary (33). We therefore set the minimum age at the end of the Bathonian (165 My).
Prior: Lognormal; 165-185 (205) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: Araucaria sphaerocarpa is known from a large cone embedded in marine limestone. It is assigned to Araucariaceae based on its large size, its expanded cone axis, cone scales with single ovules, and the presence of branched zig-zag sclereids in the seed sclerotesta. Assignment to Araucaria is based on the wingless seed and a well-developed ligule on the cone scale. Assignment to Section Bunya of extant Araucaria (containing the living species A. bidwillii) is based on the woody winged bract, the complex vascularization of the ovule scale (especially the complex vascular “plexus” at the chalazal end of the ovule), and the separate vascular strands that supply the ovule scale and the bract (all other living sections of Araucaria have a single vascular trace for both). Very similar characteristics of the ovulate scale are found in the slightly younger and better preserved Araucaria mirabilis cones from Patagonia (34), although the errors associated with dating the Patagonian localities (157 +/- 10 My) suggest these fossils could be more or less contemporaneous with A. sphaerocarpa (35). The placement of both A. sphaerocarpa and A. mirabilis in Section Bunya is strongly suggested by their ovulate scale morphology, although molecular evidence does not place living Section Bunya as sister to other Araucaria or to Araucariaceae, and the foliage and seedlings associated with these early Araucariaceae (e.g., A. mirabilis) are not similar to those of modern Section Bunya (30). The fossils could therefore be interpreted in two ways: either Section Bunya has been present since the Middle Jurassic while evidence of its sister taxon (Section Intermedia; Araucaria hunsteinii) only enters fossil record much later in the Late Cretaceous (30), or that extant Section Bunya is a younger taxon that preserves the pleisiomorphic ovulate features of Araucaria that have been lost independently in the other living sections.

In an earlier version of this study, we implemented these interpretations and explored their effects on our results. In one analysis, ages within the Araucariaceae were not constrained (referred to as No Araucaria, No Bunya analysis) while in a second, A. sphaerocarpa was used to date the divergence between extant Araucaria and its sister clade consisting of extant Agathis and Wollemia (the Araucaria, No Bunya analysis). Finally, A. sphaerocarpa was used to set the minimum age for the divergence between extant Section Bunya (which includes the living species A. bidwillii) and its sister taxon, Section Intermedia (which includes the living species A. hunsteinii). These different calibration schemes had little overall impact on estimated divergences times beyond the small clades directly affected (Table S4). Even within Araucariaceae, dating a crown group divergence (the Section Intermedia and Section Bunya split) to the Middle Jurassic did not greatly alter the median node age for the clade, as the other divergence time estimates within it remained relatively unchanged. In this study, we chose to use A. sphaerocarpa to date the first appearance of the Araucaria genus.
Table S4. Median node ages (in millions of years; My) for major Southern Hemisphere (SH) and Northern Hemisphere (NH) clades using different calibration schemes based on the interpretation of the Araucariaceae fossil record. Ages are derived from an earlier version of the analyses whose results are not otherwise presented in this study. Ages in parentheses represent the median value of 10,000 median node ages derived from a resampling routine where NH clades were reduced to 50% species sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>No Araucaria</th>
<th>Araucaria No Bunya</th>
<th>Araucaria No Bunya</th>
<th>Bunya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Araucariaceae (SH)</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocarpaceae (SH)</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callitroidea (SH)</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressoidea (NH)</td>
<td>3.04 (4.34)</td>
<td>3.31 (4.92)</td>
<td>3.43 (5.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceae (NH)</td>
<td>2.69 (4.17)</td>
<td>2.95 (4.40)</td>
<td>3.04 (4.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. *Dacrycarpus* – (*Falcifolium*+*Dacrydium*) divergence

**Fossils:** *Dacrycarpus puertae* from the Laguna del Hunco Flora of Argentina (36), radiometrically dated to the Early Eocene (51.9 My).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 51.9-71.9 (91.9) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** This species is very similar in reproductive and vegetative morphology to living *Dacrycarpus*, especially *D. imbricatus*. It includes foliage with attached pollen cones and ovulate structures, which also exhibit the warty receptacle characteristic of the genus. The fossil material differs from extant *D. imbricatus* only in a few continuous variables, such as the size of bracts subtending the cones, but was assigned to a separate species because not all aspects of the whole plant are known. We therefore use this fossil conservatively to date the appearance of crown *Dacrycarpus*, rather than the divergence between *D. imbricatus* and its sister species (a clade of *D. kinabaluensis* and *D. cinctus*).

5. *Phyllocladus* – *Lepidothamnus* divergence

**Fossils:** Phylloclades are described from the mid-Late Eocene (~37 My; 37), as well as from the Early Eocene (48-55 My) of Tasmania (mentioned in Hill and Brodribb (38).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 48-68 (88) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** *Phyllocladus* has extremely distinctive, flattened photosynthetic shoots that are unlike any other living conifer. Thus there is little doubt about the assignment of fossils with similar foliage to the *Phyllocladus* lineage, and they are readily identifiable without detailed cuticular or anatomical analysis. However, we treat these fossils as stem taxa and do not attempt to place them within the crown because other features of these
plants are unknown and their relationship to particular extant species of *Phyllocladus* is unclear.


**Fossils:** *Retrophyllum australe* from the West Dale Flora of southwestern Australia (39), which is dated to the Middle Eocene to Oligocene (28-48 My).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 28-60 (80) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** *Retrophyllum* has distinctive heterofacially flattened foliage, where leaves on opposite sides of the branch axis twist in opposite directions; therefore the upper surface of the leafy shoot is formed by the abaxial side of one leaf rank and the adaxial side of the other. The same kind of heterofacially flattened foliage also occurs in *Nageia* and *Afrocarpus* (which belong to the clade containing *Retrophyllum*), but these taxa lack the prominent midvein seen in *Retrophyllum*. *Retrophyllum australe* is assigned to extant *Retrophyllum* based on the presence of a midvein, its heterofacially flattened foliage, and its cuticular morphology, which consists of straight epidermal cells, stomata on both sides of the leaf, and prominent Florin rings. However, we do not use *R. australe* as unambiguous evidence of *Retrophyllum* due to uncertainty in placing these fossils in the crown group of the genus. Since *Podocarpus* also has a prominent midvein, this feature most likely represents the pleisiomorphic condition in the *Retrophyllum/Afrocarpus/Nageia* clade. However, we use *R. australe* to indicate the minimum age for the appearance of the extant clade characterized by heterofacially flattened foliage (the *Retrophyllum+/Afrocarpus+/Nageia* clade). Given the very poor constraint on the age of this fossil we used wider priors than in most other calibrations (32 and 52 My respectively).

7. *Taxaceae* – *Cupressaceae* divergence

**Fossils:** *Palaeotaxus rediviva* from the Upper coal bed of the Skromberga Colliery in Scania, Sweden (40). This deposit has been dated to the Lowermost Jurassic (Hettangian, 201-197 My).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 197- 217 (237) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** *Palaeotaxus rediviva* is similar to modern *Taxaceae* in having an axillary short shoot bearing sterile scales that terminates in a single ovule. According to Florin (40) the ovule is also surrounded by an aril, although this is difficult to see in the figured specimens (see 41). Regardless of the presence or absence of the aril, the position and form of the ovule strongly suggest this fossil belongs to the lineage that includes extant *Taxaceae*, because no other conifer family produces reduced axillary ovulate cones with single terminal ovules. Sterile scales on *P. rediviva* seed cones are helically arranged, similar to extant *Austrotaxus* and *Taxus* (whereas *Amentotaxus* and *Torreya* have decussate scales) and the leafy shoots of *Palaeotaxus* are also broadly similar to those of some modern *Taxaceae* in having spirally arranged, bifacially flattened leaves with a
single midvein that form distichous rows on the shoot. Florin hesitated to include *Palaeotaxus* within the modern family due to its unusual cuticular morphology, particularly the wavy epidermal cell walls that are unlike any living genus. We therefore treat *Palaeotaxus* as a minimum age estimate for the divergence between Taxaceae *sensu lato* (including Cephalotaxus) and Cupressaceae *sensu lato*.

Depending on the topology of the tree, *Palaeotaxus* could be used to date the Cephalotaxaceae – Taxaceae divergence, since its reduced ovulate cones would appear to place it securely along the Taxaceae lineage. However, this interpretation requires that the sister group relationship of Cephalotaxaceae and Taxaceae is secure and some molecular data (including ours), suggest that *Cephalotaxus* is nested within genera traditionally included in Taxaceae. Specifically, it is resolved as sister to a *Torreya* – *Amentotaxus* clade in some analyses whereas in others it is resolved as sister to the *Taxus* clade (42). In both these cases, the cone morphology of *Cephalotaxus* is interpreted either a derived elaboration of an ancestrally reduced axis or a retention of the pleisomorphic condition which requires two separate clades (the *Taxus* clade and the *Torreya* clade) have independently reduced the ovulate cone. Given the uncertainty in the interpretation of the aril in *Palaeotaxus*, combined with the uncertainty of the phylogenetic relationships between *Cephalotaxus* and other Taxaceae, it is not prudent to use *Palaeotaxus* to date the *Cephalotaxus/Taxaceae* split. However, its extremely simple ovulate cone, consisting of a single ovule, does at least provide a minimum age for the divergence between Taxaceae broadly considered from the Cupressaceae *sensu lato* clade.

8. *Metasequoia* – (*Sequoia*+Sequoiadendron) divergence

**Fossils:** *Metasequoia occidentalis* from the Wuyun Formation in China (43), dated to the Paleocene (44)

**Prior:** Lognormal; 55-75 (95) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** *Metasequoia occidentalis* is a whole plant reconstruction that is very similar to modern *Metasequoia* in all major morphological features. Its leaves are arranged on determinate short shoots with fascicled scale leaves at the base, as in modern *Metasequoia*. Pollen cones are axillary on specialized fertile branches, subtended by single bract, as in living *Metasequoia*. In contrast, *Sequoia* and *Sequoiadendron* produce pollen cones terminally (or subterminally) on vegetative shoots. Additionally, in both fossil and extant *Metasequoia* the seed cones have decussate cone scales, while the sister clade comprised of *Sequoia* and *Sequoiadendron* have cones with helically arranged scales as in other members of early-diverging Cupressaceae.

9. *Taxodium* – *Glyptostrobus* divergence

**Fossils:** *Taxodium wallissii* from the Horseshoe Canyon Formation, Alberta (45). These deposits are dated to the Maastrichtian (70-65 My).
Prior: Lognormal; 65-85 (105) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: Taxodium wallissii is a whole plant reconstruction that is similar to modern Taxodium in all major morphological features. Pollen cones were produced in axillary clusters on branches (which also terminate in a cone), similar to the fertile branches in extant Taxodium. In contrast, Glyptostrobus (sister genus to Taxodium) has pollen cones that are borne terminally on vegetative shoots. Taxodium wallissii is also similar to modern Taxodium in its seeds, which are unwinged and prominently three angled; a morphology that is unique to Taxodium among living conifers.

10. Papuacedrus – (other Callitroids) divergence

Fossils: Papuacedrus prechilensis from the Laguna del Hunco and Rio Pichileufu floras, Argentina (46), radiometrically dated to the Early to Middle Eocene (51.9-47.5 My).

Prior: Lognormal; 51.9-71.9 (91.9) My (95% confidence interval)

Discussion: Papuacedrus prechilensis has leafy shoots bearing foliage indistinguishable from the characteristic dimorphic transitional foliage of extant Papuacedrus. The foliage leaves also have stomata in separate rows that are not confined to medial strips, which is also characteristic of Papuacedrus to the exclusion of related genera such as Austrocedrus and Libocedrus. The seed cone of P. prechilensis is also similar to extant Papuacedrus, with two pairs of highly dimorphic valvate scales. Characteristically, the bract apex is at the center of a long shield-like scale. Among related genera, Libocedrus has a much longer pointed bract apex and the apex of Austrocedrus is at the margin of the scale.

11. Thuja – Thujopsis divergence

Fossils: Thuja polaris from the Strand Bay Formation - Iceberg Bay Formation of the Eureka Sound Group on Ellesmere Island, dated to the Middle Paleocene (47).

Prior: Lognormal; 58-78 (98) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: Thuja polaris has leaflets that are broad and flattened in regular splays, unlike closely related Thujopsis which has similar, but irregular splays. Cones of T. polaris are similar to those of extant Thuja in having long thin scales with reflexed umbos, and a central columella-like structure formed from two connate apical scales. In contrast, Thujopsis cones scales are more robust and lack the columella-like structure. We therefore interpret T. polaris as evidence for a minimum age of the Thuja-Thujopsis divergence.

12. Tetraclinis – (Platycladus+Microbiota) divergence

Fossils: Tetraclinis salicornoides from the Lost Creek Locality of the Bridge Creek Flora (48), which is considered to be early Oligocene in age (28-33 My; 49).
Prior: Lognormal; 28-48 (68) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: *Tetraclinis salicornoides* is known from fossil foliage, seeds, and seed cones. Its seed cones are extremely similar to extant *Tetraclinis*, and have two pairs of dimorphic, valvate scales with cordate bases. Fossil seeds also have large symmetric wings, similar to living *Tetraclinis*. *Tetraclinis* foliage (*T. brachyodon*) is described from European sediments as far back as the Eocene, although floras with preserved cones are not known until the Oligocene (48). We therefore conservatively consider the Oligocene to be the earliest unambiguous appearance for the stem group of extant *Tetraclinis*.

13. *Juniperus – Cupressus* sensu lato divergence

Fossils: *Juniperus pauli* from the Ústí Formation, Czech Republic, dated to the Eocene/Oligocene boundary (~33 My; 50).

Prior: Lognormal; 33-53 (73) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: *Juniperus pauli* has the characteristic fibrous spherical cones and the relatively large, wingless seeds with resin scars that are typical of extant *Juniperus*. Kvaček (50) assigns *J. paulii* to extant section *Sabina* based on its cuticular characters, which include weakly cutinized Florin rings and indistinct or absent distal papillae on the adaxial leaf side. However, a more comprehensive analysis of the evolution of cuticular features *Juniperus* would be necessary in order to determine whether they can be used to place this fossil within crown *Juniperus*, or whether it represents a stem member. We therefore consider this fossil as unambiguous evidence of *Juniperus*, but do not place it within crown *Juniperus* or use it to date sectional splits within the genus.

14. *Picea- Cathaya* divergence

Fossils: *Picea burtonii* from the Apple Bay locality, Vancouver Island, British Columbia (51), dated to the Valanginian Stage of the Early Cretaceous (~140-133 My)

Prior: Lognormal; 133-153 (173) My (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion: This seed cone specimen shares a number of morphological and anatomical characteristics with extant *Picea*, especially in regards to the precise distribution and branching pattern of resin canals in the ovule scale. A cladistic analysis including fossil and living seed cone specimens resolved this fossil as more closely related to extant *Picea* than *Cathaya* (51), leading the authors to suggest that this fossil can be used to date the divergence of these two lineages. Based on their analysis, we follow this interpretation.
15. Larix – Pseudotsuga divergence

**Fossils:** Larix altoborealis from the Buchanan Lake formation, Axel Heiberg Island (52), dated to the Middle Eocene (41-47 My).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 41-61 (81) My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** Fossil Larix altoborealis is known from foliage, shoots, and attached seed cones that are virtually identical to modern Larix. As in extant species in the genus, L. altoborealis branches have short shoots bearing leaves and upright cones. Closely related Pseudotsuga does not produce short shoots and has ovulate scales with much longer bracts.

16. Tsuga – Nothotsuga divergence

**Fossils:** Tsuga swedaea from the Buchanan Lake formation, Axel Heiberg Island (53), dated to the Middle Eocene (41-47 My).

**Prior:** Lognormal; 41-100 My (95% confidence intervals)

**Discussion:** Tsuga swedaea is known from well-preserved mummified seed cones. These seed cones are not distinguishable from Nothotsuga based on morphology, since both taxa have numerous scales and well-developed bracts. However, non-saccate pollen similar to extant Tsuga canadensis is known from this formation (53). Since Nothotsuga pollen has more developed sacci than most Tsuga species (except T. mertensiana), the presence of Tsuga-like pollen suggests that the split between Nothotsuga and Tsuga had occurred by the Middle Eocene. This pollen morphology (Tsugapollenites) is also known earlier in the fossil record, from Paleocene and perhaps even Late Cretaceous deposits (see summary in 53). These records suggest Tsuga may have a deeper history, but these grains are not associated with any diagnostic foliar or cone macrofossils and we therefore regard them as insufficient for an unambiguous indicator of the presence of Tsuga clade. Therefore, we use T. swedaea to set the minimum age for the calibration, but extend the 95% confidence intervals of the prior age distribution to the beginning of the Late Cretaceous (~100 My) to include Late Cretaceous Tsuga-like pollen grains that may have been produced by members of the Tsuga lineage.

**Fossils not used in our analyses**

In this section, we discuss fossils that were initially considered for their potential to date divergences within conifers but were ultimately not used. Generally, these fossils were excluded because we felt there was too much uncertainty regarding their phylogenetic placement, particularly in terms of whether or not the fossil taxa represented stem or crown members of the clades of interest. However, these fossils merit discussion because many have been used in previous analyses to date divergences, or because they could be particularly useful for dating divergences if more were known about them or their systematic placement. The use of these fossils may result in older divergence time
estimates in some cases, because they often represent ambiguous early occurrences of clades or particular traits. This is not universally true, however; the first unambiguous fossil appearance of cone morphology indicative of Cupressaceae *sensu stricto* (*Widdringtonia americana* at ~95 My; 54) postdates the estimated divergence time for the clade when this fossil information is not used in the analysis.

*Wollemia*-like foliage and pollen

**Fossils:** *Dilwynites* pollen is known from the Turonian of Australia (89-93 My) and leaves very similar to modern *Wollemia* are known from the Cenomanian (93-99 My) Winton flora from Queensland (55).

**Potential calibration node:** *Wollemia* – *Agathis* divergence

**Discussion:** Fossil *Dilwynites* pollen is similar to that of modern *Wollemia* in the thickness of the exine and the pronounced granular ornamentation, whereas modern *Araucaria* and *Agathis* pollen have a thinner exine and a less granular surface. While some fossil leaves assigned to *Wollemia* are very similar to the extant genus, they show a range of variation that exceeds that of extant *Wollemia*. The seed cone scales of both *Agathis* and *Wollemia* are somewhat similar in their lack of a ligule, making the assignment of associated ovulate scales to either lineage difficult. The Winton flora also contains *Wollemia*-like pollen cones, although these are also not diagnostic for the genus. Given the uncertainties in the interpretation of these fossils and their disarticulated nature, it is not unambiguously clear whether they represent crown members of the *Wollemia* – *Agathis* clade or stem members predating the divergence between the extant genera. While the pollen evidence is suggestive that the *Wollemia* lineage had diverged by the Turonian, we decided not to base a calibration point on this evidence because the systematic and taxonomic importance of the characters in which *Wollemia* and other Araucariaceae differ are not clear.

*Notophytum krauselii*

**Fossils:** Stems and attached leaves from the Fremouw Formation, Antartica (56), which is dated to the Early Middle Triassic (Anisian; 241-245 My)

**Potential calibration node:** Podocarpaceae-Araucariaceae divergence

**Discussion:** *Notophytum krauselii* consists of multiveined leaves attached to stems that are referred Podocarpaceae on the basis of the abundant sclereids, the position of transfusion tissue, and the presence of resin canals below the vascular bundle. Large multi-vein leaves of this kind are characteristic of some extant Podocarpaceae (as well as *Agathis* in the Araucariaceae), and this fossil has been used to date the Podocarpaceae - Araucariaceae divergence (57). However, among extant Podocarpaceae multi-vein leaves are characteristic of derived taxa with a relatively recent divergence (*Nageia; see 58*) and their presence in Early Triassic members seems unlikely. Furthermore, recent studies have linked *Notophytum* foliage with *Parasciadopitys* cones (58), which are not
similar morphologically to known members of Podocarpaceae. *Notophytum* foliage may represent the permineralized form of *Heidiphyllum* compression foliage, a widespread Gondwanan leaf that is associated with a compression seed cone (*Telemachus*) also unlike modern Podocarpaceae (58). *Notophytum* fossils therefore may belong to an extinct conifer group whose relationships have not been currently resolved. We therefore did not use these fossils to calibrate the Podocarpaceae – Araucariaceae split.

*Rissikia* plant

**Fossils:** Leaf, shoots, and associated ovulate and pollen cones from the Late Triassic (Carnian; 228-235 My) Molteno Formation in South Africa (59, 60)

**Potential calibration prior:** Podocarpaceae – Araucariaceae divergence

**Discussion:** The reconstructed *Rissikia* plant consists of several species that include associated foliage (*Rissikia*), pollen cones (*Rissikianthus*), and ovulate cones (*Rissikistrobus*) that are believed to belong to the same living plant based on shared cuticular features, attachment, and association evidence. Taken separately, any particular feature or organ is not diagnostic of Podocarpaceae, but the plant has been assigned to the family when considered as a whole.

*Rissikia* leaves are similar to some extant Podocarpaceae in that they are single veined, bifacially flattened, and rotated into a plane, although some other conifer groups such as Taxaceae also show these features. *Rissikia* foliage also has a short shoot/long shoot arrangement that is similar to the extant Podocarpaceae genera *Acmopyle* and *Dacrycarpus*. *Rissikianthus* pollen cones are attached to branches with scale leaves and have two unfused microsporangia containing bisaccate pollen grains. This is morphology is similar to extant Podocarpaceae, although these features are not strictly diagnostic of the family. Several living and extinct conifer groups produce bisaccate pollen and have pollen cones with two microsporangia per microsporophyll. The pollen grains themselves are also faintly striate, a feature not seen in any extant group of conifers.

The ovulate cone structure is significantly different than that of extant Podocarpaceae. Townrow (59) interpreted the ovules are being covered by an epimatium, but based on the figured specimens the evidence for this structure is not completely clear. The basic unit of the *Rissikia* cones consists of two ovules borne on a small scale that is subtended by subtended unfused bract; these units are then often clustered groups of three which are helically arranged around an axis. Since these ovulate cones are very different from modern Podocarpaceae (as well as extant Araucariaceae and extant conifers in general) we do not consider these fossils to represent unambiguous evidence of the appearance of Podocarpaceae by the Late Triassic.
**Parasciadopitys aequata**

**Fossils:** Permineralized cone from Fremouw Formation, Antarctica (61), which is dated to the Early Middle Triassic (Anisian; 241-245 My)

**Potential calibration node:** Cupressaceae sensu lato – Taxaceae divergence

**Discussion:** *Parasciadopitys aequata* was originally assigned to the Cupressaceae *sensu lato* (“Taxodiaceae”) based on its general similarity in morphology and vasculature to *Sciadopitys* (which was considered to be included in Cupressaceae *sensu lato* at the time). However, the cone is not readily distinguishable from other fossil ovulate cones considered to belong to the more primitive voltzialean conifer group – such as *Aethophyllum, Telemachus,* and *Swedenborgia*. More recent work (58) compares *Parasciadopitys* cones to those of *Telemachus*, which was previously considered to be an early member of Podocarpaceae. This suggests these fossils may belong to an extinct group with combinations of features seen in several different extant groups. For example, *Parasciadopitys* and *Telemachus*, that have ovule scales comparable to those of Cupressaceae, are associated with multiveined “podocarpaceous” leaves (*Notophytum* and *Heidiphyllum* respectively; see above discussion of *Notophytum*). Without additional evidence from pollen or pollen cones we cannot assign these species definitively to Cupressaceae.

**Widdringtonia americana**

**Fossils:** *Widdringtonia americana* from the Tuscaloosa Formation in Alabama (54), dated to the Cenomanian (~95 My).

**Prior:** Cupressaceae *sensu stricto* – Taxodioid clade of Cupressaceae *sensu lato* divergence

**Discussion:** McIver (54) assigns leafy shoots and attached cones to extant *Widdringtonia* based on overall similarity in the seed cones. While it is true that the fossil has 4 decussate, valvate cone scales as in extant *Widdringtonia*, this is also seen in several unrelated genera of Cupressaceae, including *Callitropsis, Diselma*, and *Tetraclinis*. In addition, the fossil cones of *W. americana* do appear more similar to *Widdringtonia* than other extant genera, but they are significantly smaller than living species and also lack their characteristic warty protuberances. Molecular evidence from this and other studies also suggests *Widdringtonia* is a relatively recently derived genus nested within the callitroid clade and the modern geographical range in southern Africa, where there are four species, is far removed from these North American localities. Together, the lack of diagnostic synapomorphies, the disjunction in geographical range between fossil and living species, and the much greater age for the Cupressaceae as a whole implied by a derived taxon such as *Widdringtonia* appearing at 95 My, suggests this fossil may not belong to the modern genus. However, its decussate scale leaves and 4-valved cone do provide strong evidence of a conifer that clearly belongs within the lineage of extant Cupressaceae *sensu stricto*. However, in light of the older age for the Cupressaceae
sensu stricto found by Mao et al. (62) compared to our initial analyses in which we used *W. americana* to calibrate this divergence, we chose not to use this calibration point in order to more directly compare results between the two studies.

**Chamaecyparis corpulenta**

**Fossils:** Leafy shoots with attached seed cones from the Late Cretaceous (Santonian; 83-85 My) Comox Formation, Vancouver Island, British Columbia (63)

**Potential calibration node:** *Chamaecyparis – Fokienia* divergence

**Discussion:** *Chamaecyparis corpulenta* was assigned to extant *Chamaecyparis* based on very small, spherical seed cones with pairs of peltate, interlocking decussate scales. However, seed cones of modern *Chamaecyparis* species have more than the four scales present in these fossils. Although extremely small seed cones are unique to *Chamaecyparis* among extant Cupressaceae, the architecture of the leafy shoots of this fossil species differs from that of extant *Chamaecyparis* (or any other Northern Hemisphere species of Cupressaceae) in that it has both alternate and opposite branches. Opposite branching is seen today only in some Southern Hemisphere genera such as *Papuacedrus*. This difference in branching architecture raises the possibility that *C. corpulenta* is not within the crown *Chamaecyparis–Fokienia* clade, although the importance of opposite versus alternate branching as a phylogenetic character in Cupressaceae requires more study. Because of the uncertainties regarding the diagnostic value of the foliage characters and because *C. corpulenta* also lacks strongly diagnostic seed cone features (other than its small size), further studies are needed before the relationships of this species to extant *Chamaecyparis* can be demonstrated securely.

*Fokienia ravenscragensis*

**Fossils:** Leafy shoots with attached seed cones from the Early Paleocene (65.5-61.7 My) Ravenscrag Formation, Saskatchewan, Canada (64).

**Potential calibration node:** *Chamaecyparis – Fokienia*

**Discussion:** Fossil *Fokienia ravenscragensis* was assigned to extant *Fokienia* based on the structure of their ovulate seed cones, which are similar to those of the extant genus although they are smaller and bear fewer scales. As is also true of *Chamaecyparis corpulenta*, the opposite branching of this species also differs from extant *Fokienia* and other Northern Hemisphere Cupressaceae. While the ovulate cones of *F. ravenscragensis* are similar to those of *Fokienia*, they are also generally similar to seed cones of many Cupressaceae and lack strongly diagnostic features. Some phylogenetic analyses (although not those conducted in this study) suggest extant *Fokienia* is nested within *Chamaecyparis*, and therefore that this fossil (in conjunction with *Chamaecyparis corpulenta*) could be used to date the presence of crown *Chamaecyparis* in the fossil record (as in 62). However, given the lack of unique diagnostic features in *Fokienia ravenscragensis*, their relatively small size compared to those of modern taxa, and the
differences in branching between the fossils and living taxa, we think it is premature to use *F. ravenscragensis* to calibrate the *Fokienia-Chamaecyparis* split until more is known about it.

*Pseudolarix erensis*

**Fossils:** Shoots and cone scales from Mongolia, dated to the Late Jurassic or Early Cretaceous (65)

**Potential calibration node:** *Pseudolarix – Tsuga* divergence

**Discussion:** This fossil material includes short shoots, leaves, and scales that appear similar to extant *Pseudolarix* (65, 66). They were also used by Gernandt et al. (67) as one of two potential calibration schemes for the first appearance of the Pinaceae. However, the stratigraphy, and therefore the dating, of these localities is not clear. Krassilov (65) thought they were similar in age to the Tsagan Tsab Formation, which he considered Early Cretaceous but which has been radiometrically dated to the Oxfordian, ~156 My at some localities (68). However, Krassilov provides no direct evidence that that deposits containing *Pseudolarix* are coeval with the Tsagan Tsab. These deposits also contain apparent angiosperm seeds and foliage, raising further questions about a Late Jurassic date for these deposits. Therefore, these fossils were not used in the analysis even though their morphology suggests they are similar to extant *Pseudolarix*.

*Pinus belgica*

**Fossils:** Permineralized seed cone, probably from the Early Cretaceous (145-89 My)

Wealden Formation, Belgium (69)

**Potential calibration node:** *Pinus – (Picea+Cathaya)* divergence

**Discussion:** The morphology and anatomy of this specimen unambiguously places it within *Pinus*. However, its provenance is uncertain and it is attributed to the Wealden Formation based on adhering particles and its general type of preservation (69). This is especially problematic because the Belgian Wealden has a wide range of possible dates, spanning 127-89 My (67, 70). It is therefore possible that this cone is actually similar in age to the first appearance of *Pinus* that is well placed stratigraphically – *Pinus mutoi* in the Coniacian (71). Due to the uncertainties in the provenance of the cone and its age, it was not used in the analyses.

*Pinus hokkaidoensis*

**Fossils:** Permineralized needles from the Upper Yezo Group, Hokkaido (72) dated to the Late Cretaceous (Santonian, 83-85 My).

**Potential calibration node:** *Pinus* Subsection *Strobus – Pinus* Subsection *Pinus* divergence
**Discussion:** *Pinus hokkaidoensis* possesses needles with two vascular strands, a feature unique to *Pinus* Subsection *Pinus* among extant Pinaceae, and could be used to indicate divergence of the two major *Pinus* subsections had occurred by the Late Cretaceous. In addition to this fossil, needles with two vascular bundles are known from Santonian-Coniacian of Massachusetts (73) and wood with features indicative of *Pinus* subsection *Strobus* (nondentate ray tracheids) also occurs by the Santonian (74). However, in the Eocene Princeton Chert, cones of *Pinus arnoldii* show with a mixture of Subsection *Pinus* characters (pronounced umbos, inflated scales, and sclerified outer cortex in the cone axis) and Subsection *Strobus* characters (cylindrical cones). These cones are strongly associated with single-bundled needles, suggesting to Miller (75) that the *Pinus/Strobus* divergence had not occurred by the Eocene. More recently, the *P. arnoldii* plant has been fully reconstructed (76), further strengthening the relationship between subsection *Pinus*-like cones and *Strobus*-like leaves. Because the fossil evidence does not resolve when the split between these groups occurred, and because fossil taxa exist with intermediate character combinations, *Pinus hokkaidoensis* was not used to calibrate the *Pinus/Strobus* split.

*Abies deweyensis*

**Fossils:** Twigs and seeds from the Thunder Mountain Flora, Idaho (77), radiometrically dated to the Middle Eocene (45.5 My).

**Potential calibration node:** *Abies – Keteleeria* divergence

Discussion: *Abies deweyensis* twigs are similar to extant *Abies* in their characteristic circular leaf scars and leaves with expanded bases, although circular leaf scars are also found in closely related extant *Keteleeria*. Seeds present in the Thunder Mountain Flora are also similar to extant *Abies*, in the slight marginal lobe of the seed wing where it connects to the seed. This material was used by Gernandt et al. (67) to date the *Abies* divergence. However, given the fragmentary nature of the material generally and the lack of articulated cones we do not use it to date this split.
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