

**Campanulidae** M. J. Donoghue and P. D. Cantino in P. D. Cantino et al. (2007):  
837 [M. J. Donoghue and P. D. Cantino], converted clade name

**Registration Number:** 248

**Definition:** The largest crown clade containing *Campanula latifolia* Linnaeus 1753 (*Asterales*) but not *Lamium purpureum* Linnaeus 1753 (*Lamiidae/Lamiales*) and *Cornus mas* Linnaeus 1753 (*Cornales*) and *Erica carnea* Linnaeus 1753 (*Ericales/Ericaceae*). This is a maximum-crown-clade definition. Abbreviated definition: max crown  $\nabla$  (*Campanula latifolia* Linnaeus 1753 ~ *Lamium purpureum* Linnaeus 1753 & *Cornus mas* Linnaeus 1753 & *Erica carnea* Linnaeus 1753).

**Etymology:** Derived from *Campanula* (name of an included taxon), which is Latin for “little bell” (Gledhill, 1989).

**Reference Phylogeny:** The primary reference phylogeny is Soltis et al. (2011: Figs. 1, 2e–g). See also Soltis et al. (2000: Figs. 1, 12), Kårehed (2001: Figs. 1, 2), Bremer et al. (2002: Fig. 1), Winkworth et al. (2008: Fig. 1), and Tank and Donoghue (2010: Figs. 1, 3).

**Composition:** *Apiidae* (this volume) and probably *Aquifoliales* sensu APG II (2003). There is a slight possibility that some or all of the taxa that are currently included in *Aquifoliales* are not part of *Campanulidae* (see Comments).

**Diagnostic Apomorphies:** We know of no unambiguous non-molecular synapomorphies. Stevens (2011) cited several characters for this clade, including vessel elements with scalariform perforations, small flowers, short styles, copious endosperm, and short embryos. Several of these characters are poorly sampled; others are ill-defined or highly variable both within

and outside of this clade (e.g., flower size, style length). Erbar and Leins (1996) showed that “early sympetaly” is largely restricted to this clade, but its correlation with inferior ovary and reduced calyx should be explored further (Endress, 2001), and its placement on the tree remains uncertain. For example, it may be an apomorphy of the less inclusive clade *Apiidae* (defined in this volume), as suggested by Stevens (2011).

**Synonyms:** The informal names “asterid II”, “euasterid(s) II”, and “campanulids” are approximate synonyms (see Comments).

**Comments:** Until we published the name *Campanulidae* (Cantino et al., 2007), there was no preexisting scientific name for this clade, which is strongly supported in molecular analyses (Soltis et al., 2000; Bremer et al., 2002; Tank and Donoghue, 2010; Soltis et al., 2011) and in an analysis that combined molecular and morphological data (Kårehed, 2001). It has been referred to informally as “asterid II” (Chase et al., 1993), “euasterid(s) II” (APG, 1998; Olmstead et al., 2000; Savolainen et al., 2000; Soltis et al., 2000; Albach et al., 2001a,b; Lundberg, 2001; Judd et al., 2002; APG II, 2003), and “campanulids” (Bremer et al., 2002; Judd and Olmstead, 2004; APG III, 2009). The definition used here differs slightly from our earlier one (Cantino et al., 2007) in that we no longer use *Garrya elliptica* as an external specifier. With 100% bootstrap support for the grouping of *Garryales* with the rest of *Lamiidae* (Soltis et al., 2011), there is no longer any need to include two external specifiers representing *Lamiidae*.

There is a slight possibility that *Ilex* (*Aquifoliaceae*) is a member of *Lamiidae* (as defined in this volume), rather than being closely related to *Apiidae* (this volume) as in the reference phylogeny. *Ilex* was linked with *Lamiidae* in an analysis of *RPB2* duplications (Oxelman et al., 2004) and in an analysis of *matK* sequences (Hilu et al., 2003). Because these studies did not include any members of *Helwingia*, *Phyllonoma*, *Cardiopteridaceae* or *Stemonuraceae*, which have been linked strongly with *Ilex* (Kårehed, 2001; Bremer et al., 2002; Tank and Donoghue, 2010; Soltis et al., 2011) in *Aquifoliales*, these taxa presumably could also be related to *Lamiidae*. Our definition of *Campanulidae* is designed to include *Ilex* and these relatives (*Aquifoliales*) if they are more closely related to *Apiidae* than to *Lamiidae* and to exclude them if that is not the case. If *Ilex* and its relatives were to be found to be more closely related to *Lamiidae* than to *Apiidae*, then *Campanulidae* and *Apiidae* would become synonyms. As we stated previously (Cantino et al., 2007), it is our intent that *Campanulidae* have precedence over *Apiidae* in the unlikely event that both names refer to the same clade.

## Literature Cited

- Albach, D. C., P. S. Soltis, and D. E. Soltis. 2001a. Patterns of embryological and biochemical evolution in the asterids. *Syst. Bot.* 26:242–262.
- Albach, D. C., P. S. Soltis, D. E. Soltis, and R. G. Olmstead. 2001b. Phylogenetic analysis of asterids based on sequences of four genes. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 88:163–212.
- APG (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group). 1998. An ordinal classification for the families of flowering plants. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 85:531–553.
- APG II (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group II). 2003. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 141:399–436.
- APG III (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group III). 2009. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 161:105–121.
- Bremer, B., K. Bremer, N. Heidari, P. Erixon, R. G. Olmstead, A. A. Anderberg, M. Källersjö, and E. Barkhordarian. 2002. Phylogenetics of asterids based on 3 coding and 3 non-coding chloroplast DNA markers and the utility of non-coding DNA at higher taxonomic levels. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 24:274–301.
- Cantino, P. D., J. A. Doyle, S. W. Graham, W. S. Judd, R. G. Olmstead, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, and M. J. Donoghue. 2007. Towards a phylogenetic nomenclature of *Tracheophyta*. *Taxon* 56:822–846 and E1–E44.
- Chase, M. W., M. W. Chase, D. E. Soltis, R. G. Olmstead, D. Morgan, D. H. Les, B. D. Mishler, M. R. Duvall, R. A. Price, H. G. Hills, Y.-L. Qiu, K. A. Kron, J. H. Rettig, E. Conti, J. D. Palmer, J. R. Manhart, K. J. Sytsma, H. J. Michaels, W. J. Kress, K. G. Karol, W. D. Clark, M. Hedren, B. S. Gaut, R. K. Jansen, K.-J. Kim, C. F. Wimpee, J. F. Smith, G. R. Furnier, S. H. Strauss, Q.-Y. Xiang, G. M. Plunkett, P. S. Soltis, S. M. Swensen, S. E. Williams, P. A. Gadek, C. J. Quinn, L. E. Eguiarte, E. Golenberg, G. H. Learn, Jr., S. W. Graham, S. C. H. Barrett, S. Dayanandan, and V. A. Albert. 1993. Phylogenetics of seed plants: an analysis of nucleotide sequences from the plastid gene *rbcL*. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 80:528–580.
- Endress, P. K. 2001. Origins of flower morphology. *J. Exp. Zool.* 291:105–115.
- Erbar, C., and P. Leins. 1996. Distribution of the character states “early sympetaly” and “late sympetaly” within the “Sympetalae Tetracycliae” and presumably allied groups. *Bot. Acta* 109:427–440.
- Gledhill, D. 1989. *The Names of Plants*. 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Hilu, K. W., T. Borsch, K. Müller, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, V. Savolainen, M. W. Chase, M. P. Powell, L. A. Alice, R. Evans, H. Sauquet, C. Neinhuis, T. A. B. Slotta, J. G. Rohwer,

- C. S. Campbell, and L. W. Chatrou. 2003. Angiosperm phylogeny based on *matK* sequence information. *Am. J. Bot.* 90:1758–1776.
- Judd, W. S., C. S. Campbell, E. A. Kellogg, P. F. Stevens, and M. J. Donoghue. 2002. *Plant Systematics—A Phylogenetic Approach*. 2nd edition. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Judd, W. S., and R. G. Olmstead. 2004. A survey of tricolpate (eudicot) phylogenetic relationships. *Am. J. Bot.* 91:1627–1644.
- Kårehed, J. 2001. Multiple origin of the tropical forest tree family *Icacinaceae*. *Am. J. Bot.* 88:2259–2274.
- Lundberg, J. 2001. Phylogenetic studies in the Euasterids II, with particular reference to *Asterales* and *Escalloniaceae*. Ph.D. dissertation, Uppsala University. Available at <http://publications.uu.se/theses/abstract.xsql?dbid=1597>.
- Olmstead, R. G., K. Kim, R. K. Jansen, and S. J. Wagstaff. 2000. The phylogeny of the *Asteridae* sensu lato based on chloroplast *ndhF* gene sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 16: 96–112.
- Oxelman, B., N. Yoshikawa, B. L. McConaughy, J. Luo, A. L. Denton, and B. D. Hall. 2004. *RPB2* gene phylogeny in flowering plants, with particular emphasis on asterids. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 32:462–479.
- Savolainen, V., M. W. Chase, S. B. Hoot, C. M. Morton, D. E. Soltis, D. Bayer, M. F. Fay, A. Y. de Bruijn, S. Sullivan, and Y.-L. Qiu. 2000. Phylogenetics of flowering plants based on combined analysis of plastid *atpB* and *rbcL* gene sequences. *Syst. Biol.* 49: 306–362.
- Soltis, D. E., S. A. Smith, N. Cellinese, K. J. Wurdack, D. C. Tank, S. F. Brockington, N. F. Refulio-Rodriguez, J. B. Walker, M. J. Moore, B. S. Carlward, C. D. Bell, M. Latvis, S. Crawley, C. Black, D. Diouf, Z. Xi, C. A. Rushworth, M. A. Gitzendanner, K. J. Sytsma, Y.-L. Qiu, K. H. Hilu, C. C. Davis, M. J. Sanderson, R. S. Beaman, R. G. Olmstead, W. S. Judd, M. J. Donoghue, and P. S. Soltis. 2011. Angiosperm phylogeny: 17 genes, 640 taxa. *Am. J. Bot.* 98: 704–730.
- Soltis, D. E., P. S. Soltis, M. W. Chase, M. E. Mort, D. C. Albach, M. Zanis, V. Savolainen, W. H. Hahn, S. B. Hoot, M. F. Fay, M. Axtell, S. M. Swensen, L. M. Prince, W. J. Kress, K. C. Nixon, and J. S. Farris. 2000. Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S rDNA, *rbcL*, and *atpB* sequences. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 133:381–461.
- Stevens, P. F. 2011. Angiosperm Phylogeny Website, Version 9. Available at <http://www.mobot.org/mobot/research/apweb/>, last updated on 25 June 2011.
- Tank, D. C., and M. J. Donoghue. 2010. Phylogeny and phylogenetic nomenclature of the *Campanulidae* based on an expanded sample of genes and taxa. *Syst. Bot.* 35:425–441.
- Winkworth, R. C., J. Lundberg, and M. J. Donoghue. 2008. Toward a resolution of campanulid phylogeny, with special reference to the placement of *Dipsacales*. *Taxon* 57:1–13.

## Authors

- Michael J. Donoghue; Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology; Yale University; P.O. Box 208106; New Haven, CT 06520, USA. Email: michael.donoghue@yale.edu.
- Philip D. Cantino; Department of Environmental and Plant Biology; Ohio University; Athens, OH 45701, USA. Email: cantino@ohio.edu.

**Date Accepted:** 31 January 2013

**Primary Editor:** Kevin de Queiroz

**Apiidae** M. J. Donoghue and P. D. Cantino in P. D. Cantino et al. (2007):  
E31 [M. J. Donoghue and P. D. Cantino], converted clade name

**Registration Number:** 247

**Definition:** The largest crown clade containing *Apium graveolens* Linnaeus 1753 (*Apiales*), *Helianthus annuus* Linnaeus 1753 (*Asterales*), and *Dipsacus sativus* (Linnaeus) Honckeny 1782 (originally described as *Dipsacus fullonum* var. *sativus* Linnaeus 1763) (*Dipsacales*), but not *Ilex crenata* Thunberg 1784 (*Aquifoliales*) or *Lamium purpureum* Linnaeus 1753 (*Lamiidae*). This is a maximum-crown-clade definition with multiple internal and external specifiers. Abbreviated definition: max crown  $\nabla$  (*Apium graveolens* Linnaeus 1753 & *Helianthus annuus* Linnaeus 1753 & *Dipsacus sativus* (Linnaeus) Honckeny 1782 ~ *Ilex crenata* Thunberg 1784 v *Lamium purpureum* Linnaeus 1753).

**Etymology:** Derived from *Apium*, the name of a subclade to which celery belongs and a name used by Pliny “for a celery-like plant” (Gledhill, 1989).

**Reference Phylogeny:** The primary reference phylogeny is Soltis et al. (2011: Figs. 1, 2e–g). See also Soltis et al. (2000: Figs. 1, 12), Kårehed (2001: Figs. 1, 2), Bremer et al. (2002: Fig. 1), Winkworth et al. (2008: Fig. 1), and Tank and Donoghue (2010: Figs. 1, 3).

**Composition:** The clade *Apiidae* includes three major subclades (*Apiales*, *Asterales* and *Dipsacales*) and three smaller ones (*Escalloniaceae*, *Paracryphiaceae* and *Bruniales*) (all taxa sensu Tank and Donoghue, 2010).

**Diagnostic Apomorphies:** There are no clear non-molecular synapomorphies. Possible synapomorphies cited by Stevens (2011) include

early sympetaly (see Erbar and Liens, 1996; Leins and Erbar, 2003), a gynoecium of two or three carpels, and an inferior ovary. In addition, polyacetylenes are mentioned by Judd and Olmstead (2004). However, corolla tube development and polyacetylenes are still poorly sampled, and the gynoecial characters are widespread in *Asteridae* and may thus be plesiomorphic. A noteworthy tendency within *Apiidae* is the aggregation of small flowers into more conspicuous, head-like or umbellate inflorescences.

**Synonyms:** None.

**Comments:** Traditionally, the taxon *Apiales* was considered distantly related to *Asterales* and *Dipsacales*, and even to *Asteridae* (e.g., Cronquist, 1981, placed *Apiales* in *Rosidae*). However, recent analyses based on molecular data have indicated that *Apiales* (and several smaller taxa; see Composition) are closely related to *Asterales* and *Dipsacales*. To highlight the relationship of *Apiales* to *Asterales* and *Dipsacales*, Cantino et al. (2007) proposed the name *Apiidae* for a clade composed primarily of these three taxa.

Although the monophyly of *Apiidae* has very strong molecular support (Olmstead et al., 2000; Soltis et al., 2000; Albach et al., 2001; Lundberg, 2001; Bremer et al., 2002; Hilu et al., 2003; Tank and Donoghue, 2010; Soltis et al., 2011), basal relationships remain somewhat uncertain, and a minimum-clade definition would consequently require a long list of internal specifiers. We therefore prefer a maximum-crown-clade definition with two external specifiers. Two additional external specifiers that we used in our earlier, otherwise-similar definition (Cantino et al., 2007)—*Cardiopteris quinqueloba* and

*Garrya elliptica*—are excluded here because recent analyses (Tank and Donoghue, 2010; Soltis et al., 2011) have clarified their positions. Specifically, there is now strong support for the monophyly of *Aquifoliales*, eliminating the need to represent this clade by two external specifiers (*Ilex* and *Cardiopteris*). Similarly, the 100% bootstrap support for the inclusion of *Garryales* within *Lamiidae* (Soltis et al., 2011) has eliminated the need to include species of both *Garrya* and *Lamium* as external specifiers.

A maximum-crown-clade definition normally has only one internal specifier, but three are used here to render the name inapplicable to any clade in the context of certain phylogenies. In the unlikely event that *Apiales*, *Asterales* and *Dipsacales* turn out not to be closely related, the name *Apiidae* may not apply to any clade.

Under any phylogenetic hypothesis in which *Campanulidae* and *Apiidae* are synonyms, we intend *Campanulidae* to have precedence; see *Campanulidae* (this volume).

## Literature Cited

- Albach, D. C., P. S. Soltis, D. E. Soltis, and R. G. Olmstead. 2001. Phylogenetic analysis of asterids based on sequences of four genes. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 88:163–212.
- Bremer, B., K. Bremer, N. Heidari, P. Erixon, R. G. Olmstead, A. A. Anderberg, M. Källersjö, and E. Barkhordarian. 2002. Phylogenetics of asterids based on 3 coding and 3 non-coding chloroplast DNA markers and the utility of non-coding DNA at higher taxonomic levels. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 24:274–301.
- Cantino, P. D., J. A. Doyle, S. W. Graham, W. S. Judd, R. G. Olmstead, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, and M. J. Donoghue. 2007. Towards a phylogenetic nomenclature of *Tracheophyta*. *Taxon* 56:822–846 and E1–E44.
- Cronquist, A. 1981. *An Integrated System of Classification of Flowering Plants*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Erbar, C., and P. Leins. 1996. Distribution of the character states “early sympetaly” and “late sympetaly” within the “Sympetaeae Tetracyclaceae” and presumably allied groups. *Bot. Acta* 109:427–440.
- Gledhill, D. 1989. *The Names of Plants*. 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Hilu, K. W., T. Borsch, K. Müller, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis, V. Savolainen, M. W. Chase, M. P. Powell, L. A. Alice, R. Evans, H. Sauquet, C. Neinhuis, T. A. B. Slotta, J. G. Rohwer, C. S. Campbell, and L. W. Chatrou. 2003. Angiosperm phylogeny based on *matK* sequence information. *Am. J. Bot.* 90:1758–1776.
- Judd, W. S., and R. G. Olmstead. 2004. A survey of tricolpate (eudicot) phylogenetic relationships. *Am. J. Bot.* 91:1627–1644.
- Kårehed, J. 2001. Multiple origin of the tropical forest tree family *Icacinaceae*. *Am. J. Bot.* 88:2259–2274.
- Leins, P., and C. Erbar. 2003. Floral developmental features and molecular data in plant systematics. Pp. 81–105 in *Deep Morphology: Toward a Renaissance of Morphology in Plant Systematics* (T. F. Stuessy, V. Mayer, and E. Hörandl, eds.). Regnum Vegetabile, Vol. 141. A. R. G. Gantner Verlag, Liechtenstein.
- Lundberg, J. 2001. Phylogenetic studies in the Euasterids II, with particular reference to *Asterales* and *Escalloniaceae*. Ph.D. dissertation, Uppsala University. Available at <http://publications.uu.se/theses/abstract.xsql?dbid=1597>.
- Olmstead, R. G., K. Kim, R. K. Jansen, and S. J. Wagstaff. 2000. The phylogeny of the *Asteridae* sensu lato based on chloroplast *ndhF* gene sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 16:96–112.
- Soltis, D. E., S. A. Smith, N. Cellinese, K. J. Wurdack, D. C. Tank, S. F. Brockington, N. F. Refulio-Rodriguez, J. B. Walker, M. J. Moore, B. S. Carlward, C. D. Bell, M. Latvis, S. Crawley, C. Black, D. Diouf, Z. Xi, C. A. Rushworth, M. A. Gitzendanner, K. J. Sytsma, Y-L. Qiu, K. H. Hilu, C. C. Davis, M. J. Sanderson, R. S. Beaman, R. G. Olmstead, W. S. Judd, M. J. Donoghue, and P. S. Soltis. 2011. Angiosperm phylogeny: 17 genes, 640 taxa. *Am. J. Bot.* 98:704–730.

- Soltis, D. E., P. S. Soltis, M. W. Chase, M. E. Mort, D. C. Albach, M. Zanis, V. Savolainen, W. H. Hahn, S. B. Hoot, M. F. Fay, M. Axtell, S. M. Swensen, L. M. Prince, W. J. Kress, K. C. Nixon, and J. S. Farris. 2000. Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S rDNA, *rbcL*, and *atpB* sequences. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 133:381–461.
- Stevens, P. F. 2011. Angiosperm Phylogeny Website, Version 9. Available at <http://www.mobot.org/mobot/research/apweb/>, last updated on 25 June 2011.
- Tank, D. C., and M. J. Donoghue. 2010. Phylogeny and phylogenetic nomenclature of the *Campanulidae* based on an expanded sample of genes and taxa. *Syst. Bot.* 35:425–441.
- Winkworth, R. C., J. Lundberg, and M. J. Donoghue. 2008. Toward a resolution of campanulid phylogeny, with special reference to the placement of *Dipsacales*. *Taxon* 57:1–13.

## Authors

Michael J. Donoghue; Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology; Yale University; P.O. Box 208106; New Haven, CT 06520, USA.  
Email: michael.donoghue@yale.edu.

Philip D. Cantino; Department of Environmental and Plant Biology; Ohio University; Athens, OH 45701, USA. Email: cantino@ohio.edu.

**Date Accepted:** 31 January 2013

**Primary Editor:** Kevin de Queiroz